Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/937,469	ECKEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Peter Szekely</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James Franks</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 November 2003	Time: <u>4:30p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 second paragraph		
Claims discussed:		
6, 11, 12, 15 and 16		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The improper Markush language will be corrected and the indefinite word "derivatives" will be deleted.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
25-		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)